



EUROPEAN COMMISSION
RESEARCH DIRECTORATE-GENERAL

Directorate D - The human factor, mobility and Marie Curie activities
D2- Bourses Institutionnelles

Brussels, 06/10/2004
RTD-D2/AS D(2004) – 502730

Prof. Feliks Przytycki
Institute Of Mathematics Of The
Polish Academy Of Sciences
Institute Of Mathematics
Sniadeckich 8
21
00-956 Warsaw - PL

Subject: Programme “Structuring the European Research Area – Human Resources and Mobility”

Marie Curie Host Fellowships for Transfer of Knowledge (TOK)

Call identifier: FP6-2002-Mobility 3

Deadline: 19 May 2004

Information concerning evaluation of Proposal N° FP6- 14508 and start of negotiations

Dear Prof. Przytycki,

I would like to inform you that the Commission services, with the help of independent experts, have recently evaluated the proposal “DETERMINISTIC AND STOCHASTIC DYNAMICS, FRACTALS, TURBULENCE” submitted in the context of the above-mentioned call. You will find attached a copy of the Evaluation Summary Report on your proposal, including the marks awarded, as produced by the independent experts.

On the basis of the recommendations of the experts, I am pleased to inform you that your proposal has been favourably evaluated. Accordingly, the Commission services wish to proceed to contract negotiations. Please find enclosed the following documents:

- an electronic version of the contract preparation forms (CPFs), which you are required to complete and return electronically to the Commission. Once the electronic version is approved by the Commission, a printout of these forms with original signatures together with any additional information requested should be sent to the address specified below. Some fields of the CPFs are protected by the EC. We would appreciate if you did not attempt to modify them. Any change will make the subsequent production of the contract impossible.
- the 'Negotiation Guidance Notes' that should be carefully read and followed throughout the course of the negotiation. The document includes a set of model contract preparation forms for your information and for reference when completing the electronic version

Additionally to the completion of the forms, the co-ordinator is asked to provide the following:

- a draft Annex I (Description of Work) of the contract, which must be based on Part B of the proposal and must include any recommendations contained in the Evaluation Summary Report ESR. This should be sent electronically together with the CPF forms.
- Evidence of the legal status for non-public contracting organisation(s) and of public contracting organisation(s) if the entity is not owned by the state or in any cases of doubt (e.g. an association of a number of public legal entities).
- Evidence of the legal authorisation(s) to sign the contract for the contracting organisation(s).
- Balance sheets for non-public contracting organisation(s)

At this stage, in the case of multi-partner proposals you are also responsible for informing the other participants of the current situation, to ensure the completion of the forms and to gather the necessary information, signatures and documents.

To further facilitate the negotiation process and in order to help clarify any doubts regarding we are planning to hold a meeting in Brussels on **Monday the 25th of October 2004** for all those co-ordinators who may be interested. Note that this is a service that we are offering to our co-ordinators and that attendance is not compulsory. Unfortunately, expenses derived from this meeting cannot be charged to the project.

All documents and the completed contract preparation forms must be submitted to the Commission at your earliest convenience, but in any case at the latest by **3rd November 2004**. Failure to respect this deadline will be considered as a wish not to continue with the contract negotiations and therefore to withdraw your proposal. In such a case, the Commission will initiate the procedures to reject your proposal. Negotiations must be completed by **12th November 2004**.

This letter should not be regarded under any circumstances as a formal commitment by the Commission to provide financial support as this depends on the satisfactory conclusion of contract negotiations and the internal completion of the formal selection process.

Should you need further details in drafting the technical annex of your proposal, or concerning financial and administrative issues please contact the person indicated below.

The text of the model contract applicable to this action can be found at: http://europa.eu.int/comm/research/fp6/working-groups/model-contract/index_en.html

Further information on the nature and details of the action can be found at:
<http://europa.eu.int/mariecurie-actions/>

Yours sincerely,

[signed]

Aires Soares

Head of Unit

Encl.:

- Evaluation Summary Report
- Negotiation Guidance Notes for Early Stage Training Host Fellowships
- Model Contract Preparation Forms (Excel file)

Project Officer: from whom clarifications on contract preparation forms should be requested and to whom documents should be returned:

Fredrik Olsson Hector
European Commission
Marie Curie European Fellowships
DG RTD- D2 SDME 3/22
B - 1049 Brussels

Fax: +32-2-296.21.33.

E-mail: fredrik.olsson-hector@cec.eu.int

EVALUATION SUMMARY REPORT FOR TRANSFER OF KNOWLEDGE

FP6-2002-MOBILITY-3 (19 MAY 2004)

Proposal No. : 14508	Acronym : SPADE2
Title : DETERMINISTIC AND STOCHASTIC DYNAMICS, FRACTALS, TURBULENCE	
<p>1. Scientific Quality of the Project (Threshold 3/5)</p> <p>The scientific problems and areas described are at the forefront of central fields of applied mathematics and mathematical physics. The topic of this proposal is timely and very important for pure and applied mathematics.</p>	<p>Mark: 4.6</p>
<p>2. Transfer of Knowledge Activities (Threshold 4/5)</p> <p>A transfer of state-of-the-art knowledge to Poland through the Banach Centre is very well described with many groups and leading experts involved. The host will attract the strongest specialists from all over the world and will substantially benefit from the activities.</p>	<p>Mark: 4.3</p>
<p>3. Quality of the Host (No Threshold)</p> <p>The host's capacity to receive and disseminate knowledge is very large. The educational dimension of the activities is important and substantial. Infrastructure is appropriate. Research findings obtained in result of the activity will be widely disseminated and become available promptly to the academic community because the host publishes several top-quality journals in mathematics. The host provides accommodation, and visiting positions will be properly advertised.</p>	<p>Mark: 4.5</p>
<p>4. Management and Feasibility (Threshold 2/5)</p> <p>The host has very good experience with managing similar projects and training activities. Management body includes international advisory board, scientific committee, dedicated project coordinator, task coordinators and recruitment team.</p>	<p>Mark: 4.3</p>
<p>5. Relevance to the objectives of the Action (No Threshold)</p> <p>This project fits very well ToK aims and objectives. The activity will increase the mathematical strength of the host and associated national mathematical community. The host will substantially benefit from the proposed knowledge transfer. Visits of researchers/lecturers from outside the host country will contribute towards further integration of Polish science into international and EU community and will help national research centres to achieve a critical mass of researchers and thus increase long-term research capacity.</p>	<p>Mark: 4.5</p>
<p>6. Added Value to the Community (No Threshold)</p> <p>The expected contribution towards the objectives of ERA is high. Long-term international synergies will be established. Attractiveness and competitiveness of Europe will be enhanced.</p>	<p>Mark: 4.4</p>
<p>Overall remarks (Threshold 70%)</p> <p>A very well described plan of inviting key researchers in a variety of analytic fields, in order to vitalise and broaden the mathematics in Poland via the Banach Centre. This is a very good project perfectly fitting aims and scopes of the programme.</p>	<p>Total score: 88.7</p>

This proposal is to be reviewed by the Ethical Review Panel

No

The panel recommends that the contribution for research costs should be paid at the following rate
Standard amount (not lab based)