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## 1. The Inverse Problem in the Calculus of Variations

"When are the solutions of

$$
\ddot{x}^{a}=F^{a}\left(t, x^{b}, \dot{x}^{b}\right) a, b=1, \ldots, n
$$

the solutions of

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} L}{\partial \dot{x}^{a} \partial \dot{x}^{b}} \ddot{x}^{b}+\frac{\partial^{2} L}{\partial x^{b} \partial \dot{x}^{a}} \dot{x}^{b}+\frac{\partial^{2} L}{\partial t \partial \dot{x}^{a}}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{a}}
$$

for some $L\left(t, x^{a}, \ldots x^{a}\right)$ ?'

So, find regular $g_{a b}$ (and $L$ ) so that

$$
g_{a b}\left(\ddot{x}^{b}-F^{b}\right) \equiv \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^{a}}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^{a}}
$$

- The multiplier problem.

Helmholtz conditions (Douglas 1941, Sarlet 1982)
necessary and sufficient conditions on $g_{a b}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{a b} & =g_{a b}, \Gamma\left(g_{a b}\right)=g_{a c} \Gamma_{b}^{c}+g_{b c} \Gamma_{a}^{c} \\
g_{a c} \Phi_{b}^{c} & =g_{b c} \Phi_{a}^{c}, \frac{\partial g_{a b}}{\partial \dot{x}^{c}}=\frac{\partial g_{a c}}{\partial \dot{x}^{b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{b}^{a}: & =\frac{-1}{2} \frac{\partial F^{a}}{\partial \dot{x}^{b}}, \Phi_{b}^{a}:=-\frac{\partial F^{a}}{\partial x^{b}}-\Gamma_{b}^{c} \Gamma_{c}^{a}-\Gamma\left(\Gamma_{b}^{a}\right) \\
\Gamma: & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+u^{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{a}}+F^{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{a}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Helmholtz conditions: 1st order linear algebraic/differential equations for $g_{a b}$ with data $f^{a}, \Gamma_{b}^{a}, \Phi_{b}^{a}$.

Two approaches/problems:

- For given $F^{a}$ find all $g$ 's
e.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{x}+\dot{y}=0 \\
& \ddot{y}+y=0
\end{aligned}
$$

admits no multipliers.

- For given $n$ classify 2 nd order ode's according to existence and multiplicity of solutions of the Helmholtz condition.

Done by Douglas for $n=2$. Still not done for $n=3$.

Fields' medallist Jesse Douglas (1941):
"the problem ...... is one of the most important hitherto unsolved problems of the calculus of variations'.

Douglas solved the problem for the $n=2$ : he does this by a painstaking study of four main cases and many subcases. In most of the cases Douglas decides the existence question and gives all the possible Lagrangians; in the remaining cases the problem becomes a question of the closure of a certain 1-form.

Douglas's method is not easily generalizable to higher dimension and for this reason the problem has not been solved in Douglas's sense for $n=3$ or higher.

Example 1. Non-existence.

$$
\ddot{x}=\dot{y}, \quad \ddot{y}=y
$$

The only non-zero components of $\Gamma_{b}^{a}$ and $\Phi_{b}^{a}$ are:

$$
\Gamma_{2}^{1}=-1, \quad \Phi_{2}^{2}=-1
$$

The third of the Helmholtz conditions gives only $g_{12}=0$ and the first then gives $g_{21}=0$. Now the second gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma\left(g_{11}\right)=0=\Gamma\left(g_{22}\right) \\
& \Gamma\left(g_{12}\right)=-g_{11}=\Gamma\left(g_{21}\right) . \\
& \Gamma=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\dot{x}^{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{a}}+\dot{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}}+y \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{y}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $g_{11}=0$ and the multiplier matrix must be singular if it exists in violation of the initial assumption.

Example 2. Non-uniqueness.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\ddot{x}=y, \quad \ddot{y}=0 \\
\Gamma=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\dot{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\dot{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+y \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{y}} \\
\Gamma_{b}^{a}=0 ; \quad \Phi_{2}^{1}=-1 ; \quad \Phi_{b}^{a}=0, a \neq 1, \quad b \neq 2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The symmetry condition $g_{a c} \Phi_{b}^{c}=g_{b c} \Phi_{a}^{c}$ leads immediately to $g_{11}=0$ and the last of the Helmholtz conditions yields

$$
0=\frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial \dot{y}}=\frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial \dot{x}}, \frac{\partial g_{21}}{\partial \dot{y}}=\frac{\partial g_{22}}{\partial \dot{x}}
$$

Using the first of these in the second condition, $\Gamma\left(g_{12}\right)=0$ :

$$
\frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial t}+\dot{x} \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial x}+\dot{y} \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial y}=0
$$

and $\frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial \dot{x}}=0$ implies

$$
\frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial t}+\dot{y} \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial y}=0, \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial x}=0
$$

Hence

$$
g_{12}=G_{1}(\dot{y}, y-\dot{y} t)
$$

More work with $u:=y-\dot{y} t, v:=\dot{y}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{11} & =0, \quad g_{12}=g_{21}=G_{1}(u, v) \\
g_{22} & =\left(\frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial v}-t \frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial u}\right) \dot{x} \\
& +\frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial u} x-\frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial v} u t+\frac{t^{2}}{2}\left(v \frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial v}-u \frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial u}\right) \\
& -\frac{t^{3}}{3} v \frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial u}+G_{4}(u, v)
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\ddot{x}=y, \quad \ddot{y}=0
$$

is variational and $\left(g_{a b}\right)$ is determined up to 2 arbitrary functions of two variables. To find all possible regular Lagrangians, integrate

$$
g_{a b}=\frac{\partial^{2} L}{\partial \dot{x}^{a} \partial \dot{x}^{b}}
$$

## For example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}:=x y \dot{y}+\frac{1}{2}\left(x-\frac{t^{2}}{2} y\right) \dot{y}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} t \dot{x} \dot{y}^{2}+\frac{1}{36} t^{3} \dot{y}^{3} \\
& \left(G_{1}:=u, G_{4}:=0\right) \\
& L_{2}:=\frac{1}{2} \dot{x} \dot{y}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} t y \dot{y}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} t^{2} \dot{y}^{3} \\
& \left(G_{1}:=v, G_{4}:=0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Significance

- Non-existence.

No Lagrangian $\rightarrow$ No Hamiltonian $\rightarrow$ No Quantisation.

No Lagrangian $\rightarrow$ No Mechanics Theorems $\rightarrow$ Hard Work.

- Non-uniqueness.

Too many Lagrangians $\rightarrow$ Too many Hamiltonians $\rightarrow$ Ambiguous Quantum Mechanics.

## 2. Geometric Formulation

$$
\begin{gathered}
E:=\mathbb{R} \times T M \quad\left(t, x^{a}, u^{a}\right) \\
\swarrow t \quad \downarrow \pi \quad \operatorname{dim}(E)=2 n+1 \\
\mathbb{R} \overleftarrow{t} \mathbb{R} \times M \quad\left(t, x^{a}\right) \\
\ddot{x}^{a}=F^{a}\left(t, x^{b}, \dot{x}^{b}\right) \\
\rightarrow \quad \Gamma=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+u^{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{a}}+F^{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{a}} \in \mathfrak{X}(E)
\end{gathered}
$$

$E$ is equipped with

- vertical dist'n $V(E)=s p\left\{V_{a}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{a}}\right\}$
- contact dist' n

$$
\Theta(E)=\operatorname{sp}\left\{\theta^{a}:=d x^{a}-u^{a} d t\right\}
$$

- vertical endomorphism $S=V_{a} \otimes \theta^{a}$


## Semispray:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\underbrace{\left\ulcorner=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+u^{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{a}}+F^{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{a}} \quad S=V_{a} \bigotimes \theta^{a}\right.}_{\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma} S} \\
T E=S p\{\Gamma\} \bigoplus H(E) \bigoplus V(F)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Projectors:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{\ulcorner }=\left\ulcorner\otimes d t, P_{H}=H_{a} \otimes \theta^{a}, P_{V}=V_{a} \otimes \phi^{a}\right. \\
\binom{H_{a}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{a}}-\Gamma_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{b}}, \phi^{a}:=d u^{a}-F^{a} d t+\Gamma_{b}^{a} \theta^{b}}{\left[H_{a}, H_{b}\right]=R_{a b}^{d} V_{d}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Jacobi endomorphism:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi:=P_{V} \circ \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma} P_{H} \\
\Phi=\left(-\frac{\partial F^{b}}{\partial x^{a}}-\Gamma_{c}^{b} \Gamma_{a}^{c}-\Gamma\left(\Gamma_{a}^{b}\right)\right) V_{b} \otimes \theta^{a} \\
V_{a}\left(\Phi_{b}^{c}\right)-V_{b}\left(\Phi_{a}^{c}\right)=3 R_{a b}^{c}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the autoparallel case

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma_{b}^{a}=\Gamma_{b c}^{a} u^{c}, \quad R_{a b}^{c}=R_{d a b}^{c} u^{d} \\
\Phi_{b}^{a}=R_{c d b}^{a} u^{c} u^{d}
\end{gathered}
$$

When $\ddot{x}^{a}=F^{a}\left(t, x^{b}, \dot{x}^{b}\right)$ are (normalized) EulerLagrange equations, then $\Gamma$ is the unique vectors field on $E$ s.t.

$$
\Gamma\lrcorner d \theta_{L}=0, d t(\Gamma)=1
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta_{L}:=L d t+d L \circ S=L d t+\frac{\partial L}{\partial u^{a}} \theta^{a} \\
d \theta_{L}=\frac{\partial^{2} L}{\partial u^{a} \partial u^{b}} \psi^{a} \wedge \theta^{b}
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem (CPT 1984) Given a SODE 「, necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a Lagrangian whose $E-L$ field is $\Gamma$ are that there exists $\Omega \in \Lambda^{2}(E)$ :

1. $\Omega$ has max'l rank
2. $\Omega\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)=0 \forall V_{1}, V_{2} \in V(E)$
3. $\Gamma\lrcorner \Omega=0$
4. $d \Omega=0$

Usually begin the search for $\Omega$ by assuming 1, 2, 3 i.e.

$$
\Omega=g_{a b} \psi^{a} \wedge \theta^{b},\left|g_{a b}\right| \neq 0
$$

and requiring

$$
d \Omega=0
$$

$d \Omega(X, Y, Z)=0$ give the Helmholtz conditions e.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \Omega\left(\Gamma, V_{a}, H_{b}\right)=0 \Leftrightarrow \Gamma\left(g_{a b}\right)-g_{b c} \Gamma_{a}^{c}-g_{a c} \Gamma_{b}^{c}=0 \\
& d \Omega\left(\Gamma, V_{a}, V_{b}\right)=0 \Leftrightarrow g_{a b}=g_{b a} \\
& d \Omega\left(\Gamma, H_{a}, H_{b}\right)=0 \Leftrightarrow g_{a c} \Phi_{b}^{c}=g_{b c} \Phi_{a}^{c} \\
& \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. EDS and the Inverse Problem.

EDS reference Bryant, Chern et al 1991.
IP reference Anderson and Thompson 1992.
In EDS terms, the I.P. is
"Find all closed, maximal rank 2-forms in $\Sigma:=S p\left\{\psi^{a} \wedge \theta^{b}\right\} \subset \wedge^{2}(E) "$

3 steps

1. Find the largest differential ideal generated by $\Sigma$.
2. Create a Pfaffian system from the closure condition on this ideal.
3. Apply Cartan-Kähler to determine the generality of the solution of this Pfaffian system.

## The differential ideal step.

Q. Set $\Sigma^{0}:=\Sigma=\operatorname{Sp}\left\{\phi^{a} \wedge \theta^{b}\right\}$.

$$
\text { Is }\left\langle\Sigma^{0}\right\rangle \text { closed? }
$$

A. Yes - done!

No - define $\Sigma^{1}:=\left\{\omega \in \Sigma^{0}: d \omega \in\left\langle\Sigma^{0}\right\rangle\right\}$
Q. Is $\left\langle\Sigma^{1}\right\rangle$ closed?
etc.

This process terminates for some (possibly empty) $\Sigma^{\text {final. }}$

If $\Sigma^{\text {final }} \neq \phi$ go to step 2.
Otherwise go home (yours not mine).

## Notes

1. The differential ideal steps

$$
\Sigma^{0} \rightarrow \Sigma^{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Sigma^{\text {final }}
$$

generate hierarchies of algebraic conditions on the multiplier, eg if $\omega \in \Sigma^{k}$ then
$\omega\left(X^{V}, Y^{H}\right)=\omega\left(Y^{V}, X^{H}\right)$
$\omega\left(\Phi(X)^{V}, Y^{H}\right)=\omega\left(\Phi(Y)^{V}, X^{H}\right)$
$\omega\left(\left(\nabla^{k} \Phi(X)\right)^{V}, Y^{H}\right)=\omega\left(\left(\nabla^{k} \Phi(Y)\right)^{V}, X^{H}\right)$
There is a similar hierarchy of curvature conditions.
2. If $\Sigma^{k}$ is a differential ideal then we get conditions on $\Phi$, eg, $\Phi=\lambda I$.
3. If $\Sigma^{k}$ is a differential ideal and contains closed 2 forms then we get differential conditions on the multiplier (EDS step 2).

Question Are there further independent algebraic conditions on the multiplier (at the differential ideal step)? For example,

$$
\sum_{(X Y Z)} d \Omega\left(\Phi(X)^{V}, \Phi(Y)^{V}, Z^{H}\right)=0
$$

gives an apparently new algebraic condition at the $\Sigma^{1}$ step.

More concretely:

Question Can we find necessary and sufficient algebraic conditions defining

$$
\Sigma^{k}:=\left\{\omega \in \Sigma^{k-1}: d \omega \in\left\langle\Sigma^{k-1}\right\rangle\right\} ?
$$

Answer Yes, if we can give necessary and sufficient conditions on the adapted basis components of three forms in $\left\langle\Sigma^{k-1}\right\rangle$. This can be done for $\Sigma^{0}$.

Example 3. $(\mathrm{n}=3)$

$$
\Sigma^{1}=\operatorname{sp}\left\{\omega^{11}, \omega^{22}, \omega^{33}\right\}
$$

$$
\left(\omega^{a a}:=\psi^{a} \wedge \theta^{b}\right)
$$

$$
\Sigma^{2}=\operatorname{sp}\left\{\omega^{1}:=\omega^{11}+r_{3}^{1} \omega^{33}, \omega^{2}:=\omega^{22}+r_{3}^{2} \omega^{33}\right\}
$$

For $\omega=\omega^{1}+p \omega^{2} \in \Sigma^{3}, \exists \lambda_{1,2}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
d \omega \in\left\langle\Sigma^{2}\right\rangle \Longleftrightarrow \\
d \omega^{1}+p d \omega^{2}=\lambda_{1} \wedge \omega^{1}+\lambda_{2} \wedge \omega^{2} \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now we use $d \omega^{1}, d \omega^{2} \in\left\langle\Sigma^{1}\right\rangle$ and

$$
\omega^{1}:=\omega^{11}+r \frac{1}{3} \omega^{33}, \omega^{2}:=\omega^{22}+r_{3}^{2} \omega^{33}
$$

to get (1) in terms of $\omega^{11}, \omega^{22}$.

We get a linear system of 4 equations in 5 unknowns ( $p$ and 4 components of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ ) whose rank depends on $r_{3}^{1}, r_{3}^{2}$.

The differential ideal step generates all the necessary and sufficient conditions in a basis calculation.

## Open Questions

1. $n=3$
2. nature of classification for arbitrary $n$. Probably by $\Phi$ :
(a) $\Phi$ diag'ble distinct e'vals: $p$ e'spaces integrable $(n-p)$ are not integrable
(b) $\Phi$ diag'ble repeated e'vals and integrability again.
(c) then Jordan normal forms but possible subclassification by differential ideal step.
3. The rest of the EDS process !!
