## Exterior Differential Systems and the Inverse Problem

Geoff Prince

Bedlewo

August 21st 2007.

## EDS and the Inverse Problem joint with J. Aldridge, W. Sarlet, G. Thompson

- 1. The inverse problem
- 2. Geometric formulation
- 3. EDS and the inverse problem

(EDS - Exterior Differential Systems)

# 1. The Inverse Problem in the Calculus of Variations

"When are the solutions of

 $\ddot{x}^a = F^a(t, x^b, \dot{x}^b)a, b = 1, \dots, n$ 

the solutions of

 $\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \dot{x}^a \partial \dot{x}^b} \ddot{x}^b + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x^b \partial \dot{x}^a} \dot{x}^b + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial t \partial \dot{x}^a} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^a}$ 

for some  $L(t, x^a, \dots x^a)$ ?"

So, find regular  $g_{ab}$  (and L) so that

$$g_{ab}(\ddot{x}^b - F^b) \equiv \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^a} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^a}$$

- The multiplier problem.

# Helmholtz conditions (Douglas 1941, Sarlet 1982)

necessary and sufficient conditions on  $g_{ab}$ :

$$g_{ab} = g_{ab}, \Gamma(g_{ab}) = g_{ac}\Gamma_b^c + g_{bc}\Gamma_a^c$$
$$g_{ac}\Phi_b^c = g_{bc}\Phi_a^c, \frac{\partial g_{ab}}{\partial \dot{x}^c} = \frac{\partial g_{ac}}{\partial \dot{x}^b}$$

where

$$\Gamma_{b}^{a} := \frac{-1}{2} \frac{\partial F^{a}}{\partial \dot{x}^{b}}, \Phi_{b}^{a} := -\frac{\partial F^{a}}{\partial x^{b}} - \Gamma_{b}^{c} \Gamma_{c}^{a} - \Gamma(\Gamma_{b}^{a})$$
$$\Gamma := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u^{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{a}} + F^{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{a}}$$

Helmholtz conditions: 1st order linear algebraic/differential equations for  $g_{ab}$  with data  $f^a, \Gamma^a_b, \Phi^a_b$ .

Two approaches/problems:

• For given  $F^a$  find all g's

e.g.

$$\ddot{x} + \dot{y} = 0$$
$$\ddot{y} + y = 0$$

admits no multipliers.

• For given *n* classify 2nd order ode's according to existence and multiplicity of solutions of the Helmholtz condition.

Done by Douglas for n = 2. Still not done for n = 3. Fields' medallist Jesse Douglas (1941):

"the problem ..... is one of the most important hitherto unsolved problems of the calculus of variations".

Douglas solved the problem for the n = 2: he does this by a painstaking study of four main cases and many subcases. In most of the cases Douglas decides the existence question and gives all the possible Lagrangians; in the remaining cases the problem becomes a question of the closure of a certain 1-form.

Douglas's method is not easily generalizable to higher dimension and for this reason the problem has not been solved in Douglas's sense for n = 3 or higher. Example 1. Non-existence.

## $\ddot{x} = \dot{y}, \qquad \ddot{y} = y.$

The only non-zero components of  $\Gamma^a_b$  and  $\Phi^a_b$  are:

$$\Gamma_2^1 = -1, \qquad \Phi_2^2 = -1.$$

The third of the Helmholtz conditions gives only  $g_{12} = 0$  and the first then gives  $g_{21} = 0$ . Now the second gives

$$\Gamma(g_{11}) = 0 = \Gamma(g_{22}),$$
  

$$\Gamma(g_{12}) = -g_{11} = \Gamma(g_{21}).$$
  

$$\Gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dot{x}^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \dot{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{y}}$$

Hence  $g_{11} = 0$  and the multiplier matrix must be singular if it exists in violation of the initial assumption. Example 2. Non-uniqueness.

$$\ddot{x} = y, \quad \ddot{y} = 0.$$

$$\Gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dot{x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \dot{y}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{y}}$$

 $\Gamma_b^a = 0; \ \Phi_2^1 = -1; \ \Phi_b^a = 0, \ a \neq 1, \ b \neq 2.$ 

The symmetry condition  $g_{ac}\Phi_b^c = g_{bc}\Phi_a^c$  leads immediately to  $g_{11} = 0$  and the last of the Helmholtz conditions yields

$$0 = \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial \dot{y}} = \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial \dot{x}}, \ \frac{\partial g_{21}}{\partial \dot{y}} = \frac{\partial g_{22}}{\partial \dot{x}}$$

Using the first of these in the second condition,  $\Gamma(g_{12}) = 0$ :

$$\frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial t} + \dot{x}\frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial x} + \dot{y}\frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial y} = 0,$$

and  $\frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial \dot{x}} = 0$  implies

$$\frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial t} + \dot{y}\frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial y} = 0, \ \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial x} = 0.$$

Hence

$$g_{12} = G_1(\dot{y}, y - \dot{y}t).$$

More work with  $u := y - \dot{y}t, v := \dot{y}$ :

 $g_{11} = 0, \quad g_{12} = g_{21} = G_1(u, v),$ 

$$g_{22} = \left(\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial v} - t\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial u}\right)\dot{x} + \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial u}x - \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial v}ut + \frac{t^2}{2}\left(v\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial v} - u\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial u}\right) - \frac{t^3}{3}v\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial u} + G_4(u,v).$$

So

$$\ddot{x} = y, \quad \ddot{y} = 0$$

is variational and  $(g_{ab})$  is determined up to 2 arbitrary functions of two variables. To find all possible regular Lagrangians, integrate

$$g_{ab} = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \dot{x}^a \partial \dot{x}^b}.$$

For example

$$L_1 := xy\dot{y} + \frac{1}{2}(x - \frac{t^2}{2}y)\dot{y}^2 - \frac{1}{2}t\dot{x}\dot{y}^2 + \frac{1}{36}t^3\dot{y}^3$$

$$(G_1 := u, G_4 := 0)$$

$$L_2 := \frac{1}{2}\dot{x}\dot{y}^2 - \frac{1}{2}ty\dot{y}^2 + \frac{1}{4}t^2\dot{y}^3$$

$$(G_1 := v, G_4 := 0)$$

## Significance

• Non-existence.

No Lagrangian  $\rightarrow$  No Hamiltonian  $\rightarrow$  No Quantisation.

No Lagrangian  $\rightarrow$  No Mechanics Theorems  $\rightarrow$  Hard Work.

• Non-uniqueness.

Too many Lagrangians  $\rightarrow$  Too many Hamiltonians  $\rightarrow$  Ambiguous Quantum Mechanics.

#### 2. Geometric Formulation

$$E := \mathbb{R} \times TM \quad (t, x^{a}, u^{a})$$

$$\swarrow t \quad \downarrow \pi \qquad \dim(E) = 2n + 1$$

$$\mathbb{R} \leftarrow t \quad \mathbb{R} \times M \quad (t, x^{a})$$

$$\ddot{x}^{a} = F^{a}(t, x^{b}, \dot{x}^{b})$$
  

$$\rightarrow \qquad \Gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u^{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{a}} + F^{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{a}} \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$$

 ${\boldsymbol{E}}$  is equipped with

- vertical dist'n  $V(E) = sp\{V_a := \frac{\partial}{\partial u^a}\}$
- contact dist'n  $\Theta(E) = sp\{\theta^a := dx^a - u^a dt\}$
- vertical endomorphism  $S = V_a \otimes \theta^a$

Semispray:

$$\underbrace{\Gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + F^a \frac{\partial}{\partial u^a}}_{\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma}S} S = V_a \bigotimes \theta^a}_{TE = Sp\{\Gamma\} \bigoplus H(E) \bigoplus V(F)}$$

### **Projectors:**

 $P_{\Gamma} = \Gamma \bigotimes dt, \ P_{H} = H_{a} \bigotimes \theta^{a}, \ P_{V} = V_{a} \bigotimes \phi^{a}$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_a := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} - \Gamma^b_a \frac{\partial}{\partial u^b}, \phi^a := du^a - F^a dt + \Gamma^a_b \theta^b \\ [H_a, H_b] = R^d_{ab} V_d \end{pmatrix}$$

Jacobi endomorphism:

$$\Phi := P_V \circ \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma} P_H$$
$$\Phi = \left( -\frac{\partial F^b}{\partial x^a} - \Gamma_c^b \Gamma_a^c - \Gamma(\Gamma_a^b) \right) V_b \otimes \theta^a,$$
$$V_a(\Phi_b^c) - V_b(\Phi_a^c) = 3R_{ab}^c$$

In the autoparallel case

$$\Gamma_b^a = \Gamma_{bc}^a u^c, \quad R_{ab}^c = R_{dab}^c u^d,$$
$$\Phi_b^a = R_{cdb}^a u^c u^d$$

When  $\ddot{x}^a = F^a(t, x^b, \dot{x}^b)$  are (normalized) Euler-Lagrange equations, then  $\Gamma$  is the unique vectors field on E s.t.

$$\Gamma_{\bot} d\theta_L = 0, dt(\Gamma) = 1$$

where

$$\theta_L := Ldt + dL \circ S = Ldt + \frac{\partial L}{\partial u^a} \theta^a$$

$$d\theta_L = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial u^a \partial u^b} \psi^a \wedge \theta^b$$

**Theorem** (CPT 1984) Given a SODE  $\Gamma$ , necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a Lagrangian whose E - L field is  $\Gamma$  are that there exists  $\Omega \in \Lambda^2(E)$ :

- 1.  $\Omega$  has max'l rank
- 2.  $\Omega(V_1, V_2) = 0 \ \forall V_1, V_2 \in V(E)$
- 3.  $\Gamma_{\perp}\Omega = 0$
- 4.  $d\Omega = 0$

Usually begin the search for  $\Omega$  by assuming 1, 2, 3 i.e.

$$\Omega = g_{ab}\psi^a \wedge \theta^b, |g_{ab}| \neq 0$$

and requiring

 $d\Omega = 0.$ 

 $d\Omega(X, Y, Z) = 0$  give the Helmholtz conditions e.g.

 $d\Omega(\Gamma, V_a, H_b) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Gamma(g_{ab}) - g_{bc}\Gamma_a^c - g_{ac}\Gamma_b^c = 0$  $d\Omega(\Gamma, V_a, V_b) = 0 \Leftrightarrow g_{ab} = g_{ba}$  $d\Omega(\Gamma, H_a, H_b) = 0 \Leftrightarrow g_{ac}\Phi_b^c = g_{bc}\Phi_a^c$ etc.

#### 3. EDS and the Inverse Problem.

EDS reference Bryant, Chern et al 1991.

IP reference Anderson and Thompson 1992.

In EDS terms, the I.P. is

"Find all closed, maximal rank 2-forms in  $\Sigma := Sp\{\psi^a \wedge \theta^b\} \subset \wedge^2(E)$ "

3 steps

- 1. Find the largest differential ideal generated by  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}.$
- 2. Create a Pfaffian system from the closure condition on this ideal.
- Apply Cartan-Kähler to determine the generality of the solution of this Pfaffian system.

#### The differential ideal step.

Q. Set  $\Sigma^0 := \Sigma = Sp\{\phi^a \wedge \theta^b\}.$ 

Is  $\langle \Sigma^0 \rangle$  closed?

A. Yes - done!

No - define  $\Sigma^1 := \{ \omega \in \Sigma^0 : d\omega \in \langle \Sigma^0 \rangle \}$ 

Q. Is  $\langle \Sigma^1 \rangle$  closed?

etc.

This process terminates for some (possibly empty)  $\Sigma^{\text{final}}$ .

If  $\Sigma^{\text{final}} \neq \phi$  go to step 2. Otherwise go home (yours not mine).

#### Notes

1. The differential ideal steps

$$\Sigma^0 \to \Sigma^1 \to \dots \to \Sigma^{final}$$

generate hierarchies of algebraic conditions on the multiplier, eg if  $\omega \in \Sigma^k$  then

$$\omega(X^V, Y^H) = \omega(Y^V, X^H)$$
  

$$\omega(\Phi(X)^V, Y^H) = \omega(\Phi(Y)^V, X^H)$$
  
...  

$$\omega((\nabla^k \Phi(X))^V, Y^H) = \omega((\nabla^k \Phi(Y))^V, X^H)$$

There is a similar hierarchy of curvature conditions.

- 2. If  $\Sigma^k$  is a differential ideal then we get conditions on  $\Phi$ , eg,  $\Phi = \lambda I$ .
- 3. If  $\Sigma^k$  is a differential ideal **and** contains closed 2 forms then we get **differential conditions** on the multiplier (EDS step 2).

**Question** Are there further independent algebraic conditions on the multiplier (at the differential ideal step)? For example,

$$\sum_{(XYZ)} d\Omega(\Phi(X)^V, \Phi(Y)^V, Z^H) = 0$$

gives an apparently new algebraic condition at the  $\Sigma^1$  step.

More concretely:

**Question** Can we find necessary and sufficient algebraic conditions defining

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{k} := \{ \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{k-1} : d\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \langle \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{k-1} \rangle \}?$$

**Answer** Yes, if we can give necessary and sufficient conditions on the adapted basis components of three forms in  $\langle \Sigma^{k-1} \rangle$ . This can be done for  $\Sigma^0$ .

Example 3. (n=3)  

$$\Sigma^{1} = sp\{\omega^{11}, \omega^{22}, \omega^{33}\}$$

$$(\omega^{aa} := \psi^{a} \wedge \theta^{b})$$

$$\Sigma^{2} = sp\{\omega^{1} := \omega^{11} + r_{3}^{1}\omega^{33}, \ \omega^{2} := \omega^{22} + r_{3}^{2}\omega^{33}\}$$
For  $\omega = \omega^{1} + p\omega^{2} \in \Sigma^{3}, \ \exists \ \lambda_{1,2} :$ 

$$d\omega \in \langle \Sigma^{2} \rangle \iff$$

$$d\omega^{1} + pd\omega^{2} = \lambda_{1} \wedge \omega^{1} + \lambda_{2} \wedge \omega^{2} \qquad (1)$$

Now we use  $d\omega^1, d\omega^2 \in \langle \Sigma^1 \rangle$  and  $\omega^1 := \omega^{11} + r_3^1 \omega^{33}, \ \omega^2 := \omega^{22} + r_3^2 \omega^{33}$ to get (1) in terms of  $\omega^{11}, \omega^{22}$ . We get a linear system of 4 equations in 5 unknowns (p and 4 components of  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ ) whose rank depends on  $r_3^1, r_3^2$ .

The differential ideal step generates all the necessary and sufficient conditions in a basis calculation.

## **Open Questions**

#### 1. n = 3

- 2. nature of classification for arbitrary n. Probably by  $\Phi$ :
  - (a)  $\Phi$  diag'ble distinct e'vals: p e'spaces integrable (n-p) are not integrable
  - (b) Φ diag'ble repeated e'vals and integrability again.
  - (c) then Jordan normal forms but possible subclassification by differential ideal step.
- 3. The rest of the EDS process !!